1 2 3 4 5	SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. Eduardo G. Roy (State Bar # 146316) Rodney R. Patula (State Bar # 182052) Evan S. Nadel (State Bar # 213230) Daniel T. Balmat (State Bar # 230504) One Maritime Plaza, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94111 3492 Telephone: +1.415.954.0200 Facsimile: +1.415.393.9887	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MCINERNEY & DILLON, P.C. Timothy L. McInerney (State Bar # 124807) LeCarie S. Whitfield (State Bar # 192186) Gregory J. Gangitano (State Bar # 226987) 1999 Harrison Street, Ste. 1700 Oakland, CA 94612-4700 Telephone: +1.510.465.7100 Facsimile: +1.510.465.8556 William J. McGahan (State Bar # 165706) General Counsel F.W. SPENCER & SON, INC. 1422 Harrison Street Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: +1.510.451.2300 Facsimile: +1.510.238.1059	
16	Attorneys for Defendants	
	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
17	NORTHERN DIST	CRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18 19 20 21	SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT, a multi-county rapid transit district established and existing under the laws of California; Plaintiff,	Case No. C 04 4632 SI STIPULATION AND JOINT MOTION OF ALL PARTIES TO AMEND THE AMENDED PRETRIAL PREPARATION ORDER OF JUNE 16, 2006:
	·	,
22 23	vs. WILLIAM D. SPENCER, an individual;	(1) EXTENDING CUTOFF FOR NON- EXPERT DISCOVERY BY SIXTEEN DAYS; AND
24	F.W. SPENCER & SON, INC., a California corporation; BRISBANE	(2) EXTENDING BY THREE WEEKS
25	MECHANICAL CO., a California corporation; WILLIAM MCGAHAN, an	ALL DEADLINES FOR DISCLOSURES OF EXPERTS AND
26	individual; BRUCE R. BONAR, an individual; and DOES 1-25,	EXPERT-DISCOVERY CUTOFF
27 28	Defendants.	(WITHOUT AFFECTING SCHEDULING FOR DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS, PRETRIAL CONFERENCE, OR TRIAL)

All parties hereto, by and through their respective, undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate to, and jointly move for, an order amending the "Amended Pretrial Preparation Order" entered herein on June 16, 2006, providing as follows:

- (A) **Non-Expert Discovery Cutoff** is changed from August 29, 2006 to September 14, 2006; provided, however, that no further non-expert discovery may be propounded and that such discovery may be conducted after September 13, 2006 only as provided for specifically hereinbelow; and
- (B) **Expert Disclosures and Discovery** shall be conducted as follows: (i) for planning and scheduling purposes only, each side shall, on or before September 20, 2006, disclose the identity of each expert it intends to call to give testimony, together with the subject matter(s) on which each such expert is then expected to offer testimony; (ii) on or before October 24, 2006, each side will serve upon the other the disclosure(s) of experts and each expert's intended testimony, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B); (iii) on or before November 10, 2006, each side will serve upon the other its disclosures of rebuttal experts and testimony, in accordance with the cited Rule; and (iv) on or before November 22, 2006, all expert discovery shall be completed.

All other terms of the Amended Pretrial Preparation Order of June 16, 2006 will remain unchanged.

In support of, and as grounds for, this Motion, the parties state as follows:

- (1) Despite diligent efforts to complete all non-expert discovery by the current August 29, 2006 deadline (including very substantial document productions and depositions), the parties are unable to complete such discovery, primarily because of vacation and other scheduling conflicts of witnesses, including third-party witnesses, unexpected delays in the production of documents by third-parties and the greater-than-expected volume of document production by the parties themselves. These circumstances, in turn, have necessitated delays in the depositions of material witnesses.
- (2) With the exception of limited discovery that may be required after rulings on discovery motions, based on objections already raised and the subject of just-completed or ongoing "meet and confer" negotiations, and the possibility of depositions that the parties have noticed but for which they have not yet been able to perfect service of subpoenas, they have agreed to a firm schedule that will allow the completion of all non-expert discovery by September

Cascase03::04-0460346532-SIDobournemetrit275 Ffileed10036225/20006PagFeageo87of7

1	14, 2006. That schedule, the "Agreed Discovery Schedule," is attached hereto and is		
2	incorporated herein by this reference.		
3	(3) Each side has determined that it can properly litigate whatever dispositive motions		
4	are to be filed in this case prior to completion of expert disclosures and discovery and that the		
5	latter may be completed on a schedule independent of the schedule for dispositive motions.		
6	Accordingly, the parties have proposed above an amended schedule for expert disclosures and		
7	discovery that satisfies Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)C) and requires completion of all expert discovery		
8	more than ninety (90) days before trial.		
9	(4) This stipulation and joint motion is made for the reasons stated and not for		
10	purposes of undue delay or to unfairly prejudice any party or the administration of justice. The		
11	amended Pretrial Preparation Order sought hereby would not affect the schedule for any		
12	procedures or proceedings, including dispositive motions or trial.		
13	DATED: Avenue 25, 2006	COLUDE CANDEDC & DEMDCEVI I D	
14	DATED: August 25, 2006	SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.	
15		By: /s/Evan S. Nadel Eduardo G. Roy	
16		Rodney R. Patula	
17		Evan Š. Nadel Daniel T. Balmat	
18		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
19	DATED: August 25, 2006	MCINERNEY & DILLON, P.C.	
20		Dry /a/LaCaria C Whitfield	
21		By: /s/LeCarie S. Whitfield Timothy L. McInerney LeCarie S. Whitfield	
22		Gregory J. Gangitano	
23		Attorneys for Defendants	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
25	II IS SO ONDERED.		
26	DATED:	Juran Delaton	
27	DATED.	HON. SUSAN ILLSTON	
28			

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.
One Maritime Plaza, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94111-3492

AGREED DISCOVERY SCHEDULE

The Parties have agreed to a Stipulation to amend the Court's Pre-Trial Order.

In addition to the terms of that Stipulation, the Parties agree that they will not notice any depositions not already noticed. A Party may complete service of a subpoena for any deposition that has been noticed. The schedule below reflects all of the remaining depositions noticed to date. None of the depositions below will be rescheduled unless ordered by the Court or necessary due to the verified unavailability of a witness.

8/25 (Fri): 2 p.m., Patsy Reese at SSD —> to be rescheduled

8/28 (Mon): 9 a.m., Conco Cement at SSD

9 a.m., Virgilio Talao at M&D

8/29 (Tues): 9 a.m., Gerardina Talao at M&D

1 p.m., Lathrop Construction at M&D

8/31 (Thurs.): 7:30 a.m.–3 p.m., Bruce Bonar at SSD

10 a.m., Jacobs Civil at SSD

9/1 (Friday): 9 a.m., Angela Griffin at SSD

10 a.m., Tom Dunscombe at M&D

9/6 (Wed.): 9 a.m., BART 30(b)(6) at M&D

9/7 (Thurs.): 9 a.m., SLG/BMC 30(b)(6) at SSD

9 a.m., Allyn Simmons at M&D

9/8 (Fri.): 9 a.m., FWS/BMC 30(b)(6) at SSD (The parties disagree

about plaintiff's right to this deposition and are meeting and conferring in an effort to resolve their disagreement

informally)

1 p.m., Virginia Sevilla at SSD

9/12 (Tues.): 9 a.m., Richard Crawford at SSD

9 a.m., DMJM Harris in LA

9/13 (Wed.): 10 a.m., Carl Kennedy at M&D

9/14 (Thurs.): 10 a.m., Edmundo Anchondo at M&D

The depositions of City and County of San Francisco and its eight employees, originally noticed by defendants for the 23rd, 24th and 28th of August, are subject to a motion to quash filed by the City. The Court will determine whether those depositions, or some of them, will be permitted. If the Court permits any of those depositions to go forward, we will at that time present the Court with a proposed schedule for those depositions accommodating our current commitments, the schedule of the City attorney(ies) who will represent the deponents and the witnesses' availability.

The Parties further agree that the foregoing schedule of depositions may be altered for unforeseen circumstances so long as the alteration does not result in a deposition being rescheduled for a date later than September 15th; provided however, that witness unavailability and that ground only may result in a rescheduling to a date after September 15th, but not later than September 22, 2006.

Apart from depositions, the Parties agree that BART will complete its review of documents tagged by defense counsel and make those documents available for copying by defendants by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, August 28th. Defendants agree that their documents at the Zandonella depository will remain available for BART's review through the end of expert discovery.

1	PROOF OF SERVICE			
2	(Pursuant to Federal Law)			
3	The undersigned certifies and declares as follows:			
4 5	I, JOHN R. AGUILAR, am a resident of the State of California and over 18 years of age and am not a party to this action. My business address is One Maritime Plaza, Suite 300, San Francisco, California 94111-3492, which is located in the county where any non-personal service			
	described below took place.			
6	On August 25, 2006, a copy of the following document(s):			
7 8	STIPULATION AND JOINT MOTION OF ALL PARTIES TO AMEND THE AMENDED PRETRIAL PREPARATION ORDER OF JUNE 16, 2006: (1) EXTENDING CUTOFF FOR NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY BY SIXTEEN DAYS; AND (2) EXTENDING BY THREE WEEKS ALL DEADLINES FOR DISCLOSURES OF EXPERTS AND EXPERT-			
9				
10	DISCOVERY CUTOFF (WITHOUT AFFECTING SCHEDULING FOR DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS, PRETRIAL CONFERENCE, OR TRIAL)			
11	was served on:			
12	MCINERNEY & DILLON, P.C.	Attorneys for Defendants		
13	LeCarie S. Whitfield, Esq.	WILLIAM D. SPENCER, F.W. SPENCER & SON, INC., BRISBANE		
14	1999 Harrison Street, Street 1700	MECHANICAL CO., BRUCE R. BONAR, and WILLIAM M.		
15	Oakland, CA 94612-4700	MCGAHAN		
16	General Counsel	Attorneys for Defendants WILLIAM D. SPENCER, F.W. SPENCER & SON, INC., BRISBANE		
17	1422 Harrison Street	MECHANICAL CO., BRUCE R.		
18		BONAR, and WILLIAM M. MCGAHAN		
19	Service was accomplished as follows.			
20		ar" with the firm's practice of collection		
21	and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice the mail would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Francisco, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid of postal cancellation date or postage meter date is			
22				
23	more than one day after date of deposit for mailing	more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.		
24	By Facsimile. On the above date, I transmitted the above-mentioned			
25	document(s) by facsimile transmission machine to the parties noted above, whose facsimile transmission machine telephone number is set forth above.			
26				
27				
28				

	Cascen 3:04-04-04-0326-532-SID obcourment r77:275 Filler of 1038/225/2000 6Page a 75 of 7				
1	By Express Service Carrier. On the above date, I sealed the above document(s) in an envelope or package designated by Federal Express, an express service				
2	carrier, addressed to the above, and I deposited that sealed envelope or package in a box or				
3	other facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier, or delivered that envelope to an authorized courier or driver authorized by the express service carrier to receive				
4	documents, located in San Francisco, California with delivery fees paid or otherwise provided for.				
5	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose				
6	direction the service was made. Executed on August 25, 2006, at San Francisco, California.				
7	/s/John R. Aguilar JOHN R. AGUILAR				
8	JOHN R. MOOILM				
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					